

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/699,945 | 11/03/2003 | Andreas Marettek | IT20020047 | 4337 | |
| 173 75 | 590 08/03/2006 | | EXAM | INER | |
| WHIRLPOOL PATENTS COMPANY - MD 0750 500 RENAISSANCE DRIVE - SUITE 102 | | | HECKERT, JASON MARK | | |
| ST. JOSEPH, 1 | | 5 102 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 1746 | 1746 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Applicati | ion No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------|--------|--|--|--|
| | 10/699,9 | 945 | MARETTEK, AND | REAS | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examine | r | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jason He | | 1746 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | 2b) This action is | | | | | | |
| · — | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the prac | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restr | are withdrawn from co | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by t | he Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Date | O-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/699,945 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sargeant et al. Sargeant discloses a dishwasher comprising a wash chamber, a pump for circulating wash fluid, and a spray bar with at least one downwardly directed jet (column 5 lines 8-18). In Fig. 36, Sargeant shows the downwardly directed jets 150 discharging water onto a perforated horizontal filter plate 104 (see Fig. 27). The perforations are in a circular pattern and do not uniformly cover the entire plate.
- 3. In regards to claims 3 and 4, filter plate 104 possesses no open area in its central portion, which is an area below the pattern generated by the downwardly directed jets. This filter plate also has a portion adjacent to the two semi-circular sides of the central portion with a larger percentage of open area than that of the central portion. These side zones have a plurality of holes with a greater frequency, corresponding to a lower pitch, than that of the central zone.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/699,945

Art Unit: 1746

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sargeant et al. Although Sargeant does not disclose dimensions of the filter plate perforations, it is well settled that determinations of optimum values of cause effective variables, such as perforation dimension and percentage open area, is within the skill of one practicing in the art since dimension and percentage open area would effect the size and amount of particle filtration. Therefore it would have been obvious to optimize such parameters in Sargeant with expectation of achieving the desired filtration unless there is a showing of unexpected results. *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 7 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent No. 5779812 to Thies et al. Theis discloses a filter screen for a dishwasher with both a fine mesh portion and a coarse mesh portion.
- U.S. Patent No. 4347861 to Clearman et al. Clearman discloses a filter plate for a dishwasher with a percentage of open area that is not uniform.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,945 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Heckert whose telephone number is (571) 272-2702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMH

MICHAEL BARR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER